

# SafeBaby<sup>®</sup>

## Non-Invasive Prenatal Test



Non-invasive prenatal testing in the mother's blood allows the detection of all 24 fetal chromosome alterations



During pregnancy, small amounts of fetal cell-free DNA that pass through the placenta can be detected in the mother's blood. SafeBaby<sup>®</sup> non-invasive prenatal test screens this fetal DNA to identify the presence of alterations in the chromosomes of the baby. SafeBaby<sup>®</sup> is the most reliable and safe prenatal screening option currently available, with no associated risks for either the mother or the fetus. From a single tube of mother's blood, drawn as early as 9 weeks in the pregnancy, this test allows determining if the future baby presents any chromosome alteration.

### The process



## SafeBaby® - Non-Invasive Prenatal Testing

SafeBaby® Non-Invasive Prenatal Test (NIPT) in mother's blood is a new prenatal screen test that allows to identify the presence of fetal chromosome anomalies. This test represents a great advance in prenatal screening. By carrying out a simple blood test from week 9 of pregnancy, remnants of fetal genetic material that pass through the placenta can be detected in the mother's blood. From the study of this fetal material, it is possible to foresee the possibility that the future baby suffers from any chromosome alteration.

### Reliability of NIPT results

Non-invasive prenatal testing results are the most precise prenatal screening tests results currently available, such as the traditional combined screening of the first trimester<sup>3</sup>. In general, the probability of obtaining a false positive or false negative result is lower than in other tests<sup>1-3</sup>.

SafeBaby® detects the main trisomies: Down syndrome, Patau syndrome and Edwards syndrome, with a sensitivity and specificity higher than 98% and 99% respectively. This test is also useful to detect aneuploidies in the sex chromosomes with sensitivity and specificity greater than 95% and 99% respectively<sup>1-3</sup>.

This test also detects, with high specificity and sensitivity the presence of microdeletions associated to the following syndromes: Prader-Willi/Angelman 1p36 deletion, Cri du Chat, Wolf-Hirschhorn, Jacobsen, Langer-Giedion, DiGeorge II, Phelan-McDermid and 16p11.2-p12.2 deletion.

### Benefits & value-added

IGLS uses SafeBaby® non-invasive prenatal test to determine, by means of massive sequencing of the entire genome, the presence of fetal aneuploidies in all 24 chromosomes and the most common microdeletions. This test has significantly higher detection rates than traditional methods<sup>1-4</sup> and has shown excellent detection rates and very low false positive rates compared to other non-invasive prenatal diagnosis methods<sup>5</sup>.

### Indications

Medical societies have recommended SafeBaby® as an option for all pregnant women regardless of age or risk<sup>1,2</sup>. This screening test is aimed at patients with gestation of 9 weeks or more with single or twin pregnancies. It is particularly beneficial for woman of advanced maternal age ( $\geq 35$  years), who have had an abnormal result in the combined screening of the first trimester, an abnormal ultrasound or a medical history that suggests an increased risk of a pregnancy with chromosome aneuploidies.

### Process details

The non invasive prenatal test requires 10 ml blood sample. Once drawn, it must be sent at room temperature to a laboratory where an extraction of both maternal and fetal genetic material will be performed. This material will be then analyzed using state-of-the-art next generation sequencing technology.

From the analysis of the sequencing results, the amount of fetal and maternal DNA present for each of the chromosomes analyzed will be determined. And thus the probability that the fetus suffers from aneuploidy or microdeletions can be elucidated.

Our results reports are available in 7 days after sample reception.

1. Practice Bulletin No. 163. Obstet Gynecol. 2016; 127(5): 979-981.

2. Gregg AR et al. Genet Med. 2016; 18(10): 1056-1065.

3. Bianchi DW et al. 2014; 370(9): 799-808.

4. Norton ME et al. N Engl J Med. 2015; 372(17): 1589-1597.

5. Gil MM et al. Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol. 2015; 45(3): 249-266.

